RECENT ADVANCES IN TECHNOLOGIES FOR INTEGRATED CIRCUITS MAKE LARGE SCALE ARITHMETIC CIRCUITS

[1]Ms. V K Sharma, [2]Ms. Vaibhav Sharma

[1,2] Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering,

Rajagiri School of Engineering and Technology, Ernakulam, (India)

ABSTRACT

This paper shows how to implement carry-save arithmetic with reconfigurable adder. The architecture of the adder is such that it should add two operands fast. The adder will be design as an IP (Intellectual Property) core. The reconfigurable adder is then implementing on FPGA, Spartan 3E series board. A reconfigurable system is one that changes its form in order to alter its function. For it to be more than "configurable" it must be possible to change it either whilst it is in use, or by taking it out of use for a short time.

Keywords: Adder, CSA, FPGA, Reconfigurable.

I. INTRODUCTION

Arithmetic operations play an important role in various digital systems such as computers, process controllers, signal processors computer graphics and image processing. Recent advances in technologies for integrated circuits make large scale arithmetic circuits suitable for VLSI implementation [1]. However, arithmetic operations still suffer from known problems including limited number of bits, propagation time delay, and circuit complexity. Now, the flexibility of field programmable gate arrays (FPGAs) allows the rapid development of high performance custom hardware. By selecting arithmetic algorithms suited to the FPGA technology and subsequently applying optimal mapping strategies, high performance FPGA implementations can be developed [2].

This paper discusses the proposed reconfigurable adder & also its implementation on FPGA board for physical verification.

II. CARRY-SAVE ADDER (CSA)

Using carry save addition, the delay can be reduced further still. The idea is to take 3 numbers that we want to add together, x + y + z, and convert it into 2 numbers c + s such that x + y + z = c + s, and do this in O(1) time. The reason why addition cannot be performed in O(1) time is because the carry information must be propagated. In carry save addition, we refrain from directly passing on the carry information until the very last step. We will first illustrate the general concept with a example of decimal numbers.

To add three numbers, we typically align the three operands, and then proceed column by column in the same fashion that we perform addition with two numbers. The three digits in a column are added, and any overflow, say carry goes into the next column. Observe that when there is any non-zero carry, we are really adding four digits (the digits of x,y and z, plus the carry).

```
Carry:      1 1 2 1
  x:        1 2 3 4 5
  y:        3 8 1 7 2
  z:      + 2 0 5 8 7
         _____
sum:        7 1 1 0 4
```

The carry save approach breaks this process down into two steps. The first is to compute the sum ignoring any carries:

```
  x:        1 2 3 4 5
  y:        3 8 1 7 2
  z:      + 2 0 5 8 7
         _____
  s:        6 0 9 9 4
```

Each s_i is equal to the sum of $x_i + y_i + z_i$ modulo 10. Now, separately, we can compute the carry on a column by column basis:

```
  x:      1 2 3 4 5
  y:      3 8 1 7 2
  z:    + 2 0 5 8 7
       _____
  c:      1 0 1 1
```

In this case, each c_i is the sum of the bits from the previous column divided by 10 (ignoring any remainder). Another way to look at it is that any carry over from one column gets put into the next column. Now, we can add together c and s, and we'll verify that it indeed is equal to $x + y + z$:.

A carry save adder (CSA) is very fast where there is no carry propagation within each CSA cell. It is only the final recombination of the final carry and sum requires a carry propagating addition [4] that simply outputs the carry bits instead of propagating them to the side. Since it save all the carries from all the adds to the last stage and do one Carry Look-ahead Adder (CLA) or Ripple Carry Adder (RCA) at the end. Thus, using carry-save adders avoids carry propagation and will result in a higher throughput. Minimum adder integer multipliers using Carry Save Adder [3]. The CSA design automatically avoids the delay in the carryout bits [5]. It is well known that carry-save arithmetic is a useful technique in the implementation of high-speed arithmetic functions. Carry save adder used widely in design because carry save addition saves logic and time

Basically, carry save adder is used to compute sum of three or more n-bit binary numbers. Carry save adder is same as a full adder. But as shown in figure.10, here we are computing sum of two 16-bit binary numbers, so we take 16 half adders at first stage instead of using 16 full adders. Therefore, carry save unit consists of 16 half adders, each of which computes single sum and carry bit based only on the corresponding bits of the two input numbers. Let x and y are two 16 bit numbers and produces partial sum and carry as s and c as shown below:

$$S_i = X_i \ XOR \ Y_i$$

$$C_i = X_i \ AND \ Y_i$$

The final addition is then computed as:

- Shifting the carry sequence C left by one place.

- Placing a 0 to the front (MSB) of the partial sum sequence S.

- Finally, a ripple carry adder is used to add these two together and computing the resulting sum.

The addition is explained using an example. Two operands of 4 bits size is added partially with their carry added in the end. The addition of carry in the end saves the time needed to propagate the carry that is used in conventional adder.

$$X: \quad 1\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 1$$
$$Y: \ +1\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 1$$

$$S: \quad 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0$$
$$C: \quad 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1$$
$$\text{Sum:}\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 0$$

Fig 2.1 Computation Flow of CSA

## III. Design of Fast Reconfigurable Adder

**Fig 3.1 Addition Module for Single Bit Addition**

Fig 3.2 Working Model of the Reconfigurable Fast adder

The working model of the reconfigurable fast adder is shown below in figure. The model consists of four registers. These four registers contain the operands halves. All the registers are of same size, it is due to the reason that the operands are divided into two halves of equal size.

The model consist of operands A and B divided into two equal halves the first two halves as shown in the figure is added separately & its most significant bit is then used as the select signal for the multiplexer that select the already calculated addition result for the next halves. In the end there is a concatenation operator that concatenates the two final halves of the result to finally have the result of addition of two operands in a register.

The addition units shown in the above figure are based on the concept of calculating the two possible results i.e. the one without carry & the one with carry, thus eliminating the delay due to carry propagation. So in this way the entire addition operation is carried out using the carry save addition algorithm & using the same concept for even the single bit addition operation. By performing addition operation this way we achieved performance improvement as compare to the other adder types.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS & ANALYSIS

The logic verification of Reconfigurable fast adder for 8 bit, 16 bit & 32 bit Operand using Xilinx ISE, also for synthesis & FPGA for physical verification

4.1 Logic Verification

4.1.1 8 bit Operand: I have taken two different 8 bit inputs 10101010, 01010101 & the output produced by Reconfigurable fast adder IP core was 11111111. The output waveform shown below.


Figure 4.1 Output Waveform for 8 Bit Operands

4.1.2 16 bit Operand: Here I have taken two different 16 bit inputs 1010101010101010, 01010101010101 & the output produced by reconfigurable fast adder IP core was 1111111111111111. The output waveform shown below.


Figure 4.2 Output Waveform for 16 Bit Operands

4.1.3   32 bit Operand: Here I have taken two different   32 bit inputs 10101010101010101010101010101010, 01010101010101010101010101010101 & the output produced by reconfigurable fast adder IP core was 11111111111111111111111111111111. The output waveform shown below.

Figure 4.3 Output Waveform for 32 Bit Operands

4.2. Device Utilization Summary

4.2.1 For 8 bit operand

Selected Device: 3s100ecp132-4

- Number of Slices: 42 out of 960 4%

- Number of Slice Flip Flops:

    48 out of 1920 2%

- Number of 4 input LUTs:

    60 out of 1920 3%

- Number of IOs: 9

- Number of bonded IOBs:

    49 out of 83 59%

- Number of GCLKs:

    1 out of 24 4%

Timing Summary

For Speed Grade of -4

- Minimum period: 6.723ns (Maximum Frequency: 148.743MHz)

- Minimum input arrival time before clock: 1.946ns

- Maximum output required time after clock: 4.283ns

4.2.2 For 16 bit operand

Selected Device: 3s100ecp132-4

- Number of Slices: 42 out of 960 4%

- Number of Slice Flip Flops:

48 out of 1920   2%

- Number of 4 input LUTs:

    60 out of 1920   3%

- Number of IOs: 49

- Number of bonded IOBs:

    49 out of 83   59%

- Number of GCLKs: 1 out of   24   4%

Timing Summary

For Speed Grade of -4

- Minimum period: 6.723ns (Maximum Frequency: 148.743MHz)

- Minimum input arrival time before clock: 1.946ns

- Maximum output required time after clock: 4.283ns

4.2.3 For 32 bit operand

Selected Device: 3s100ecp132-4

- Number of Slices: 91 out of   960   9%

- Number of Slice Flip Flops:

    96 out of 1920   5%

- Number of 4 input LUTs:

    126 out of   1920   6%

- Number of IOs: 97

- Number of bonded IOBs:

    97 out of   83   116%

- Number of GCLKs: 1 out of   24   4%

Timing Summary

For Speed Grade of -4

- Minimum period: 9.625ns (Maximum Frequency: 103.896MHz)

- Minimum input arrival time before clock: 1.946ns

- Maximum output required time after clock: 4.283ns

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, a reconfigurable fast adder was presented. It can be reconfigured in terms of bit width of the operands provided that the degree of operands is 2. Its architecture comprises of addition units that does addition operation of individual bit by calculating the result in advance in the presence & as well as in the absence of carry, thus eliminating the delay due to carry propagation.

REFERENCES

[1] Chao Cheng; Parhi and K.K. "*High-Throughput VLSI Architecture for FFT Computation*", IEEE Transactions on Volume 54, Issue 10, Page 863 – 867, October 2007.

[2] A. Avizienis, "*Signed-Digit Number Representation for Fast Parallel Arithmetic*, "IRE Transaction Electron. Comp., EC-10, pp. 389-400, 1961

[3] Oscar Gustafssoiz, Herzrik Olilssoii, arid Lars Wanhammar, (2004). Minimum adder integer multipliers using Carry Save Adder, Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Circuits Syst., Sydney, Australia

[4] John Cooley, EDA & ASIC Design Consultant in Synopsys, Verilog, VHDL and numerous Design Methodologies, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka Department of Computer Science & Engineering.

[5] Chetana Nagendra, Irwin M. J., Owens R. M., (1996). Area-Time-Power Tradeoffs in Parallel Adders, IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems, Vol.43.

[6] James Levy, Jabulani Nyathi, and Jos´e Delgado-Frias, (2005). High- Performance Parallel Addition Using Hybrid Wave-Pipelining, IEEE Transaction of EECS.

[7] Altera University Program UP2 Education kit user guide (2004). Vol. 3.1.

[8] Prof. Dr.-Ing. Wolfgang Kunz, Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, (2005), Algoritmic Design of Arithmetic Circuit, www-eda.eit.uni-kl.de.

[9] Synopsys Inc., Design Ware Components Databook, 1996.